

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/799,574	03/12/2004	Edmund Coersmeier	60282.00173	6496
•	7590 11/30/2001 DERS & DEMPSEY L	EXAMINER		
14TH FLOOR		FOTAKIS, ARISTOCRATIS		
8000 TOWERS CRESCENT TYSONS CORNER, VA 22182			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/799,574	COERSMEIER, EDMUND .				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Aristocratis Fotakis	2611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Descriptions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tilt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/0	<u>07/2007</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ Thi	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1 - 19 is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 - 19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	prity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number:

10/799,574 Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1 – 2, 5 – 10, 13 – 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coersmeier et al ("Frequency selective IQ Phase and Amplitude Imbalance Adjustments for OFDM Direct Conversion Transmitters" Proceedings of the International Symposium on Advanced Radio Technologies, March 4 – 7, 2003, Nokia, Bochum, Germany) in view of Yuda et al (US 2005/0018597)

10/799,574 Art Unit: 2611

Re claims 1, 6, 9, 14 - 15 and 18 - 19, Coersmeier teaches of an error adjustment system and method for equalizing transmission characteristics of a signal processing circuitry (Fig.1 and 4), the system comprising: generating means for generating an original complex time domain (from IFFT, Fig.1) IQ signal (Page 33, Col. 2, Paragraph 3, Lines 1 – 6, Fig.1); error correction means for performing error correction on the original complex time domain IQ signal of a respective signal branch by means of a correction function (Page 33, Col 2, Paragraph 3, Lines 6 – 11, Fig.1); signal processing circuitry for processing the corrected complex time domain IQ signal of the respective signal branch, thereby obtaining a processed real signal of the respective signal branch (Page 34, Col 1, Paragraph 1, Fig.1); and a processing device comprising: receiving means for receiving an original complex time domain IQ signal by the generating means (Page 34, Col 1 - 2, Paragraph 3, Lines 6 - 8, Fig.1) and a processed real signal (Page 34, Col 1, Paragraph 2, Lines 7 – 12, Fig.1) of the signal branch; first calculating means for (IQ estimation block, Fig.1) calculating a processed complex time domain IQ signal of the signal branch (output from the block, Fig.1) from the processed real signal (output from analog measurement block, Fig.1, Fig.4, Page 34. Col 1, Paragraph 2, Lines 7 – 12) and the original complex time domain IQ signal of the signal branch (Fig.4, DSP, Page 36, Col 2, Paragraph 2 - 3) using digital sample signs of the original complex time domain IQ signal of the signal branch (The original complex IQ signal corresponds to any of the four planes of the IQ constellation determined by the sign of the I and Q values); second calculating means (IQ Amplitude Error Detection block, Fig.1) for calculating a difference between the processed

Application/Control Number:

10/799,574 Art Unit: 2611

complex IQ signal and the original complex time domain IQ signal (Page 36, Col 1, Paragraphs 1 and 2, equations (6)); third calculating means for calculating control values of a correction function (correction coefficients) of the signal branch on the basis of the difference (errors) calculated by the second calculating means (Page 34, Col 2, Paragraphs 1); and supplying means for supplying the control values calculated by the third calculating means to the correction function of the signal branch (to the adaptive IQ Amplifier Pre-Equalizer, Fig.1, Fig.4), wherein the receiving means, the first to third calculating means and the supplying means are configured to repeat their operations (feedback loop, Fig.1, Fig.4 and equation (7)). However, Coersmeier only teaches of one branch.

Yuda teaches of a transmission weight computing section that computes a transmission weight for directional transmission using an OFDM signal. A transmission correcting value memory section stores one correcting value for correcting the transmission weight for each sub-carrier of an OFDM signal or each band gathering a plurality of sub-carriers. A transmission weight correcting section corrects the transmission weight by the correcting value. A transmitting branch weights transmission data by a transmission weight outputted from the transmission weight correcting section on a sub-carrier-by-sub-carrier basis and delivers it to an antenna (Abstract, Fig.5).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the branch configuration described by Coersmeier in a transmitter with a plurality of branches for increasing the traffic capacity, broadening

10/799,574

Art Unit: 2611

the communication area, suppressing the interference (CoI 1, Paragraph [0002], Lines 4 – 6).

Re claims 2 and 10, Coersmeier teaches of detecting means according to the signal branch, for detecting an envelope of the processed real signal (Figs.1 and 4, Analog Signal Measurement, Page 34, Col 1, Paragraph 2, Lines 1 - 7), wherein the receiving means of the processing device are configured to receive the original complex time domain IQ signal of the signal branch generated by the generating means and the envelope of the processed real signal of the signal branch (Fig.4, DSP, Page 36, Col 2, Paragraph 2 - 3), and wherein the first calculating means are configured to calculate a processed complex IQ time domain signal of the signal branch from the envelope of the processed real signal and the original complex time domain IQ signal of the signal branch (see claim 1).

Re claims 5 and 13, Coersmeier teaches of the third calculating means configured to approximate a gradient of the difference calculated by the second calculating (equations (6)) means on the basis of the difference and an approximation of a transmission characteristic of the signal processing circuitry of the signal branch (Page 36, Col 1, Lines 1-5), and to update control values of the correction function based on the approximated gradient, and wherein the supplying means are configured

10/799,574

Art Unit: 2611

to supply the updated control values to the correction function of the signal branch

(equation (7), Page 36, Col 1, Lines 5-7).

Re claims 7 and 8, Coersmeier teaches of the receiving means and the

supplying means formed by a data bus (Data Bus, Fig.4), and wherein the first to third

calculating means formed by a digital signal processor (DSP, Fig.4) and further

comprising storage (Data & Information Memory block, Fig.4) means for storing

algorithms to be carried out by the digital signal processor. (Page 36, Col 1, Paragraph

5, Col 2, Paragraph 2).

Re claims 16 and 17, Coersmeier teaches of the computer program product

(Page 36, Col 1, Paragraph 4) wherein the computer program product comprises a

computer-readable medium on which the software code portions are stored directly

loadable into an internal memory of the computer (Data & Information Memory block,

Fig.4) (Page 36, Chapter VII).

Claims 3 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Coersmeier and Yuda as applied to claims 2 and 10 above, and further in view of

Heiskala et al.(US 6,700,453).

Application/Control Number:

10/799,574 Art Unit: 2611

Coersmeier and Yuda teach of all the limitations of claims 2 and 10. Coersmeier also teaches of calculating the new coefficients at time n+1 from the current coefficients at the time n (equation (7)). However, Coersmeier and Yuda do not specifically teach of comparing the envelope of the processed real signal with the envelope of the original IQ time domain signal at the two consecutive time instances (n, n+1), to obtain the processed complex time domain IQ signal.

Heiskala teaches of a method and an arrangement for compensating for amplitude imbalance of a quadrature modulator including: determining a first correlation (comparison, 51A, Fig.4) on the basis of a first modulation signal (ideal I signal, Si, Fig.4) and an output signal of the quadrature modulator (processed real signal, Pref., Fig.4); determining a second correlation (comparison, 51B, Fig.4) on the basis of a second modulation signal (ideal Q signal, So, Fig.4) and the output signal of the quadrature modulator (processed real signal, Pref., Fig.4); producing a compensation signal proportional to the amplitude imbalance on the basis of a ratio of the determined correlations and the first and second modulation signals; and processing at least one of the modulation signals of the quadrature modulator with the compensation signal; wherein determining the correlations uses unprocessed modulation signals of the quadrature modulator for determining the correlations (Abstract, Fig.4).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the two correlators to remove the effect phase imbalance and the transmitter gain from the estimated error.

Art Unit: 2611

Claims 4 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coersmeier, Yuda and Heiskala as applied to claims 3 and 11 above, and further in view of Shirali (US 7,085,330).

Coersmeier, Yuda and Heiskala teach of all the limitations of claims 3 and 11 except for synchronization.

Shirali teaches of a signal processing method and apparatus capable of correcting signal distortion introduced by an RF power amplifier (#120, Fig.1), which includes the use of a buffer (#170, Fig.1) to store a plurality of samples representing at least a portion of an input signal intended for amplification by the RF power amplifier, the use of a self-receiver (#132, Fig.1) to receive an output signal generated by the RF power amplifier, the use of a synchronization unit (#165, Fig.1) to determine, as a matching input sample, which of the stored plurality of samples corresponds most closely to the output signal, and the use of a predistortion unit (#105, Fig.1) to selectively apply a distortion correction function to the input signal prior to amplification by the RF power amplifier in which the distortion correction function being derived from a relationship between the matching input sample and the output signal (Abstract, Lines 1 – 15). The realized sample of the self-received signal are synchronized through correlating this realized sample against the contents of the buffer (#170). The synchronization unit (#165) is provided that, during its active phase it will take the I and Q components of this stored transmit signals (ideal IQ signal, Zk . . . Zk-N, Fig.1) derive

Art Unit: 2611

the magnitude for each of the stored transmit signals and correlate the generated magnitudes against the magnitude of the realized sample of self-received signal (processed real signal, |Z_{ADC}|).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the synchronization unit to permit more precise and updateable determination of the delays involved in the RF modulation and amplification stages of the amplifier and the self-receiver, thus allowing for more precise and aggressive adaptive predistortion to be used (Abstract, Lines 15-20).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/16/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant submits that Coersmeier fails to disclose that the calculating unit uses the digital sample signs of the original complex time domain IQ signal of a signal branch to calculate the processed complex time domain IQ signal of the signal branch. However, as discussed above in the rejection of the independent claims, the original complex IQ signal corresponds to any of the four planes of the IQ constellation which is determined by the sign of the I and Q values. Therefore the signs of the I and Q values of the original complex signal are considered when calculating the processed complex time domain IQ signal in the first calculating unit.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aristocratis Fotakis whose telephone number is (571) 270-1206. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 7 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on (571) 272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AF

CHIEH M. FAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER